

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1439 Alexandra, Voginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

· į	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	09/903,806	07/11/2001	Avi Ashkenazi	10466/40	1365	
		590 07/25/2003				
	HELLER EH	RMAN WHITE & M	AULIFFE LLP	EXAMINER		
	275 MIDDLEFIELD ROAD MENLO PARK, CO 94025-3506			ROMEO, DAVID S		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Adoo				1647 DATE MAILED: 07/25/2003	20	
щ						
AVA			•			
_						

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/903,806	ASHKENAZI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	David S Romeo	1647				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a re within the statutory minimum of thirt ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' cause the application to become AB,	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 A</u>	pril 2003 .					
	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under E	nce except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is				
Disposition of Claims	ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.L	J. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>39-43</u> is/are pending in the application	٦.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.					
5)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>39-43</u> is/are rejected.						
7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	·					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	. , ,	() () - ()				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Ap	plication No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list or 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)	priority under 55 U.S.C. §	13 120 dilu/01 121.				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 15.	5) 🔲 Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152) Continuation Sheet .				

Continuation of Attachment(s) 6). Other: Notice To Comply With Requirements For Patent Applications Containing Nucleotide Sequence And/Or Amino Acid Sequence Disclosures and Raw Sequence Listing Error Report.

Art Unit: 1647

DETAILED ACTION

The amendments filed April 4, 2003 (Paper No. 14) and April 7, 2003 (Paper No. 18) have been entered. Claims 39-43 are pending and being examined.

Maintained Formal Matters, Objections, and/or Rejections:

Priority

The examiner has concluded that the subject matter defined in this application is supported by the disclosure in application PCT/US00/04414 filed February 22, 2000, but is not supported by any of the others because prior to February 22, 2000 the PRO214 polypeptide is not supported by either a specific and substantial asserted utility or a well established utility, and one skilled in the art clearly would not know how to use the claimed invention. Accordingly, the subject matter defined in claims 39-43 has an effective filing date of February 22, 2000.

Applicant argues that the gene amplification data disclosed in example 92 and table 8 of the present application was first disclosed in application serial no. 60/099,803, filed September 10, 1998 and establishes patentable utility for the present case. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The present claims are directed to or encompass an antibody that binds the PRO214 polypeptide (SEQ ID NO: 109). The data disclosed in example 92 and table 8 of the present application discloses gene amplification of DNA encoding the PRO214 polypeptide. The specification asserts at page 222, full paragraph 2, that amplification is associated with overexpression of the gene product, indicating that the polypeptides are useful targets for therapeutic intervention in certain cancers such as colon, lung, breast and other cancers and diagnostic determination of the presence of those cancers. However, no information

Art Unit: 1647

is provided in the gene amplification data regarding level of expression, activity, or role in cancer of the PRO214 polypeptide. Further, WISP-2 genomic DNA was amplified in colon cancer cell lines and in human colon tumors, but RNA expression was reduced (2- to >30-fold) in 79% of the tumors. See Pennica (u20), Abstract. This evidence indicates that DNA amplification is not always associated with overexpression of the gene product. Consequently, the asserted diagnostic utility of the PRO214 polypeptide requires or constitutes carrying out further research to identify or reasonably confirm a "real world" context of use, and the increased copy number of PRO214 DNA does not provide a readily apparent use for the PRO214 polypeptide, for which there is no information regarding level of expression, activity, or role in cancer. The gene amplification data disclosed in example 92 and table 8 of the present application, which was first disclosed in application serial no. 60/099,803, filed September 10, 1998, does not satisfy the utility requirement of 35 U.S.C. § 101 for the polypeptide. Hence, the gene amplification data does not satisfy the how to use requirement of 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, for the polypeptide. The gene amplification data does not satisfy the utility requirement of 35 U.S.C. § 101 and the how to use requirement of 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, for the claimed antibody for the same reasons that the gene amplification data does not satisfy these requirements for the polypeptide.

Applicant refers to an attached declaration by Audrey Goddard. However, the declaration was not attached to the reply filed April 7, 2003 (Paper No. 18) and the declaration is not of record in the present application.

It is noted that in the supplemental application data sheet filed April 21, 2003 (Paper No. 17) Applicant is no longer claiming priority to provisional application serial no. 60/099,803.

Art Unit: 1647

Therefore, the disclosure in the 60/099,803 is not germane to the issue of priority in the present application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 39-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Ruben (n11).

Applicant argues that Ruben is not appropriate prior art because the effective date of Ruben is 11/18/1999, which is after the effective filing date (9/10/1998) of the present application. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The present claims are directed to or encompass an antibody that binds the PRO214 polypeptide (SEQ ID NO: 109). The data disclosed in example 92 and table 8 of the present application discloses gene amplification of DNA encoding the PRO214 polypeptide. The specification asserts at page 222, full paragraph 2, that amplification is associated with overexpression of the gene product, indicating that the polypeptides are useful targets for therapeutic intervention in certain cancers such as colon, lung, breast and other cancers and diagnostic determination of the presence of those cancers. However, no information is provided in the gene amplification data regarding level of expression, activity, or role in cancer of the PRO214 polypeptide. Further, WISP-2 genomic DNA was amplified in colon cancer cell lines and in human colon tumors, but RNA expression was reduced (2- to >30-fold) in 79% of the tumors. See Pennica (u20), Abstract. This evidence indicates that DNA amplification is not always associated with overexpression of the gene product. Consequently, the asserted diagnostic utility of the PRO214 polypeptide requires or constitutes carrying out further research to identify or reasonably confirm a "real world" context of use, and the increased copy number of PRO214 DNA does not provide

Art Unit: 1647

a readily apparent use for the PRO214 polypeptide, for which there is no information regarding level of expression, activity, or role in cancer. The gene amplification data disclosed in example 92 and table 8 of the present application, which was first disclosed in application serial no. 60/099,803, filed September 10, 1998, does not satisfy the utility requirement of 35 U.S.C. § 101 for the polypeptide. Hence, the gene amplification data does not satisfy the how to use requirement of 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, for the polypeptide. The gene amplification data does not satisfy the utility requirement of 35 U.S.C. § 101 and the how to use requirement of 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, for the claimed antibody for the same reasons that the gene amplification data does not satisfy these requirements for the polypeptide. Accordingly, the subject matter defined in claims 39-43 has an effective filing date of February 22, 2000, which is after the effective date of Ruben

Applicant refers to an attached declaration by Audrey Goddard. However, the declaration was not attached to the reply filed April 7, 2003 (Paper No. 18) and the declaration is not of record in the present application.

It is noted that in the supplemental application data sheet filed April 21, 2003 (Paper No. 17) Applicant is no longer claiming priority to provisional application serial no. 60/099,803. Therefore, the disclosure in the 60/099,803 is not germane to the issue of priority in the present application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 39, 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koehrer (u11).

Art Unit: 1647

Applicant argues that Koehrer is not appropriate prior art because the effective date of Koehrer is 6/11/1999, which is after the effective filing date (9/10/1998) of the present application. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The present claims are directed to or encompass an antibody that binds the PRO214 polypeptide (SEO ID NO: 109). The data disclosed in example 92 and table 8 of the present application discloses gene amplification of DNA encoding the PRO214 polypeptide. The specification asserts at page 222, full paragraph 2, that amplification is associated with overexpression of the gene product, indicating that the polypeptides are useful targets for therapeutic intervention in certain cancers such as colon, lung, breast and other cancers and diagnostic determination of the presence of those cancers. However, no information is provided in the gene amplification data regarding level of expression, activity, or role in cancer of the PRO214 polypeptide. Further, WISP-2 genomic DNA was amplified in colon cancer cell lines and in human colon tumors, but RNA expression was reduced (2- to >30-fold) in 79% of the tumors. See Pennica (u20), Abstract. This evidence indicates that DNA amplification is not always associated with overexpression of the gene product. Consequently, the asserted diagnostic utility of the PRO214 polypeptide requires or constitutes carrying out further research to identify or reasonably confirm a "real world" context of use, and the increased copy number of PRO214 DNA does not provide a readily apparent use for the PRO214 polypeptide, for which there is no information regarding level of expression, activity, or role in cancer. The gene amplification data disclosed in example 92 and table 8 of the present application, which was first disclosed in application serial no. 60/099,803, filed September 10, 1998, does not satisfy the utility requirement of 35 U.S.C. § 101 for the polypeptide. Hence, the gene amplification data does not satisfy the how to use

Art Unit: 1647

requirement of 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, for the polypeptide. The gene amplification data does not satisfy the utility requirement of 35 U.S.C. § 101 and the how to use requirement of 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, for the claimed antibody for the same reasons that the gene amplification data does not satisfy these requirements for the polypeptide.

Applicant refers to an attached declaration by Audrey Goddard. However, the declaration was not attached to the reply filed April 7, 2003 (Paper No. 18) and the declaration is not of record in the present application.

Accordingly, the subject matter defined in claims 39 and 43 has an effective filing date of February 22, 2000, which is after the effective date of Koehrer.

It is noted that in the supplemental application data sheet filed April 21, 2003 (Paper No. 17) Applicant is no longer claiming priority to provisional application serial no. 60/099,803. Therefore, the disclosure in the 60/099,803 is not germane to the issue of priority in the present application.

New formal matters, objections, and/or rejections:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim 39 is indefinite because it recites the term "specifically binds". Because the instant specification does not identify that material element or combination of elements which is unique to, and, therefore, definitive of "specifically binds" an artisan cannot determine what additional or material functional limitations are placed upon a claim by the presence of this element. The metes and bounds are not clearly set forth.

Art Unit: 1647

Sequence Rule Compliance

This application contains sequence disclosures that are encompassed by the definitions for nucleotide and/or amino acid sequences set forth in 37 CFR 1.821(a)(1) and (a)(2). However, this application fails to comply with the requirements of 37 CFR 1.821 through 1.825 for the reason(s) set forth on the attached Notice To Comply With Requirements For Patent Applications Containing Nucleotide Sequence And/Or Amino Acid Sequence Disclosures.

Failure to comply with these requirements will result in ABANDONMENT of the application under 37 CFR 1.821(g). Direct the reply to the undersigned. Applicant is requested to return a copy of the attached Notice to Comply with the reply.

Conclusion

No claims are allowable.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 1647

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

ANY INQUIRY CONCERNING THIS COMMUNICATION OR EARLIER COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE EXAMINER SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO DAVID S. ROMEO WHOSE TELEPHONE NUMBER IS (703) 305-4050. THE EXAMINER CAN NORMALLY BE REACHED ON MONDAY THROUGH FRIDAY FROM 7:30 A.M. TO 4:00 P.M.

IF ATTEMPTS TO REACH THE EXAMINER BY TELEPHONE ARE UNSUCCESSFUL, THE EXAMINER'S SUPERVISOR, GARY KUNZ, CAN BE REACHED ON (703) 308-4623.

IF SUBMITTING OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE BY FAX, APPLICANTS ARE ENCOURAGED TO SUBMIT OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE TO THE FOLLOWING TC 1600 BEFORE AND AFTER FINAL RIGHTFAX NUMBERS:

BEFORE FINAL

(703) 872-9306

AFTER FINAL (703) 872-9307

IN ADDITION TO THE OFFICIAL RIGHTFAX NUMBERS ABOVE, THE TC 1600 FAX CENTER HAS THE FOLLOWING OFFICIAL FAX NUMBERS: (703) 305-3592, (703) 308-4242 AND (703) 305-3014.

CUSTOMERS ARE ALSO ADVISED TO USE CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE PROCEDURES WHEN SUBMITTING A REPLY TO A NON-FINAL OR FINAL OFFICE ACTION BY FACSIMILE (SEE 37 CFR 1.6 AND 1.8).

FAXED DRAFT OR INFORMAL COMMUNICATIONS SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO THE EXAMINER AT (703) 308-0294.

ANY INQUIRY OF A GENERAL NATURE OR RELATING TO THE STATUS OF THIS APPLICATION OR PROCEEDING SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO THE GROUP RECEPTIONIST WHOSE TELEPHONE NUMBER IS (703) 308-0196.

DAVID ROMEO

DAVID ROMEO
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 1647

DSR JULY 17, 2003

NOTICE TO COMPLY WITH REQUIREMENTS FOR PATENT APPLICATIONS CONTAINING NUCLEOTIDE SEQUENCE AND/OR AMINO ACID SEQUENCE DISCLOSURES

Application No.

O9/903,806

ASHKENAZI ET AL.

Examiner

David S Romeo

Applicant L. E COPY

Applicant L. E COPY

Applicant L. E COPY

ASHKENAZI ET AL.

Art Unit

David S Romeo

The nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosure contained in this application does not comply with the requirements for such a disclosure as set forth in 37 C.F.R. 1.821 - 1.825 for the following reason(s):

10. 34	cit a disclosure as set fortifit 37 C.F.R. 1.021 - 1.025 for the following reason(s):
	☑1. This application clearly fails to comply with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.821-1.825. Applicant's attention is directed to the final rulemaking notice published at 55 FR 18230 (May 1, 1990), and 1114 OG 29 (May 15, 1990). If the effective filing date is on or after July 1, 1998, see the final rulemaking notice published at 63 FR 29620 (June 1, 1998) and 1211 OG 82 (June 23, 1998).
	☐2. This application does not contain, as a separate part of the disclosure on paper copy, a "Sequence Listing" as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(c).
	☐3. A copy of the "Sequence Listing" in computer readable form has not been submitted as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(e).
	☑4. A copy of the "Sequence Listing" in computer readable form has been submitted. However, the content of the computer readable form does not comply with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.822and/or 1.823, as indicated on the attached copy of the marked -up "Raw Sequence Listing."
	☐5. The computer readable form that has been filed with this application has been found to be damaged and/or unreadable as indicated on the attached CRF Diskette Problem Report. A substitute computer readable form must be submitted as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.825(d).
	☐6. The paper copy of the "Sequence Listing" is not the same as the computer readable form of the "Sequence Listing" as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(e).
	□7. Other:
Applica	ant Must Provide:
	☑ A substitute computer readable form (CRF) copy of the "Sequence Listing".
	An initial paper copy of the "Sequence Listing", as well as an amendment directing its entry into the specification.
	A statement that the content of the paper and computer readable copies are the same and, where applicable, include no new matter, as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(e) or 1.821(f) or 1.821(g) or 1.825(b) or 1.825(d).
For que	estions regarding compliance to these requirements, please contact:
For CR	les Interpretation, call (703) 308-4216 F Submission Help, call (703) 308-4212 n Software Program Support (SIRA) Technical Assistance